

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS – MARSHALL DIVISION**

**Advanced Processor Technologies LLC :**

<b>Plaintiff,</b>	:
v.	: Civ. No. 2:12-cv-152-JRG-RSP
<b>Atmel Corporation,</b>	: Lead Case
<b>Defendant.</b>	:
	: Consolidated

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

Plaintiff Advanced Processor Technologies LLC (“APT”), Defendant NVIDIA Corporation (“Defendant”) and Defendants-Intervenors ARM Ltd., and ARM, Inc. (collectively, “ARM”) have stipulated that:

APT filed suit against Defendant, and ARM intervened and filed counterclaims against APT, in APT v. NVIDIA Corporation, Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-157-JRG-RSP, which is consolidated in the above-captioned case;

APT represents that it has entered into a license agreement with RPX Corporation (“RPX”);

APT and ARM represent that, pursuant to the APT-RPX license agreement, RPX has granted a sub-license to ARM, including the right to sub-license to Defendant;

Through the RPX-ARM sub-license agreement, APT and ARM have settled APT’s claims for relief against ARM and ARM’s counterclaims for relief against APT asserted in the civil actions consolidated in the above-captioned case;

APT and Defendant have entered into a mutual release agreement relating to Defendant’s ARM-Based Products, as defined therein (“APT Release Agreement”);

The claims pending against Defendant in Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-157-JRG-RSP are solely based on, relate to and arise from Defendant’s ARM-Based Products, as

defined in the APT Release Agreement;

The APT Release Agreement does not preclude or limit Defendant from asserting affirmative defenses and counterclaims to the extent that Defendant is accused of infringing any APT patent (whether directly, indirectly, or jointly, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, in any jurisdiction worldwide) or receives a request for indemnification related to any APT patent; and

The dismissal of Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-157-JRG-RSP shall not preclude or limit for purposes of claim preclusion or issue preclusion any claims or counterclaims, or potential claims or counterclaims, of any party or third-party that relate to or arise from products or services that are not ARM-Based Products, as defined in the APT Release Agreement.

Subject to the above stipulations, APT, ARM and Defendant, through their respective attorneys of record, have requested that this Court dismiss all claims by APT with prejudice, all counterclaims of non-infringement by Defendant that relate to or arise from ARM-Based Products (as defined in the APT Release Agreement) pending between APT and Defendant in Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-157-JRG-RSP with prejudice, all counterclaims of non-infringement by ARM that relate to or arise from ARM-Based Products (as defined in the APT Release Agreement) pending between APT and ARM in Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-157-JRG-RSP with prejudice, and any other of Defendant's claims and counterclaims and ARM's claims and counterclaims without prejudice, and with all attorneys' fees, costs of court and expenses borne by the party incurring same. APT, ARM and Defendant have further requested that, for clarity, the Court state that the dismissal does not impact any defenses that might be asserted by Defendant or ARM in

any future lawsuit on the patents asserted in the present case, such defenses including, but not limited to, noninfringement, license, and invalidity (under all theories and sections of Title 35 including but not limited to sections 101, 102, 103, and 112). For further clarity, APT, ARM and Defendant have further requested that the Court state that the dismissal does not impact counterclaims by Defendant or ARM in any future lawsuit arising from products or services that are not ARM-Based Products, such counterclaims including, but not limited to, noninfringement, license, and invalidity (under all theories and sections of Title 35 including but not limited to sections 101, 102, 103, and 112).

The Court, having considered this request, is of the opinion that their request for dismissal should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, subject to the above stipulations, all claims by APT are dismissed with prejudice, all counterclaims of non-infringement by Defendant that relate to or arise from ARM-Based Products (as defined in the APT Release Agreement) pending between APT and Defendant in Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-157-JRG-RSP are dismissed with prejudice, all counterclaims of non-infringement by ARM that relate to or arise from ARM-Based Products (as defined in the APT Release Agreement) pending between APT and ARM in Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-157-JRG-RSP are dismissed with prejudice, and any other of Defendant's claims and counterclaims and ARM's claims and counterclaims are dismissed without prejudice. For clarity, this dismissal does not impact any defenses that might be asserted by Defendant or ARM in any future lawsuit on the patents asserted in the present case, such defenses including, but not limited to, noninfringement, license, and invalidity (under all theories and sections of Title 35 including but not limited to sections 101, 102, 103, and 112). For further clarity,

this dismissal does not impact counterclaims by Defendant or ARM in any future lawsuit arising from products or services that are not ARM-Based Products, such counterclaims including, but not limited to, noninfringement, license, and invalidity (under all theories and sections of Title 35 including but not limited to sections 101, 102, 103, and 112).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all attorneys' fees, costs of court and expenses shall be borne by each party incurring the same.

**SIGNED this 11th day of June, 2013.**



\_\_\_\_\_  
ROY S. PAYNE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE